

| | | | |
|---|---------------------|---------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 09/909,229 | CZEKAJ ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Sudhanshu C. Pathak | 2634 | |

All Participants:

(1) Sudhanshu C. Pathak.

(2) Sanders Hillis.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 1 November 2005

Time: morning

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Yes

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

No


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Bascially, a better understanding of the invention was discussed. The first sample rate is essentially for example a sample rate for sampling an analog source information i.e. A/D sampling rate and the second rate is the network clocking rate. This provides a better understanding of the invention implemented in a synchronous network..